Mod Ref		Autoru West Chapter 15 Gree	Reason for Modification
	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised		
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is		
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)		
Site Ref IR Page No. Mod - MOD/BW/GB/1 UDP – Green Belt	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council) The Green Belt largely follows that in the adopted UDP. Proposed amendments reflect the need for the clarification of previous anomalies and errors, and to provide a clearly identifiable boundary on site. Major Deletions from the Green Belt (0.40 hectares and above) None Major Additions to the Green Belt (0.40 hectares and above) BW/GB1.1 PITTY BECK VALLEY, ALLERTON Addition to the adopted Green Belt south of employment site BW/E1.8. This land has been added following the creation of a clearly identifiable boundary on the ground and tree	The Green Belt largely follows that in the adopted UDP. Proposed amendments reflect the need for the clarification of previous anomalies and errors, and to provide a clearly identifiable boundary on site. Major Deletions from the Green Belt (0.40 hectares and above) None Major Additions to the Green Belt (0.40 hectares and above) BW/GB1.1 PITTY BECK VALLEY, ALLERTON Addition to the adopted Green Belt south of employment site BW/E1.8. This land has been added following the creation of a	The document entitled "District Wide Minor Green Belt Changes", and the text in the Proposals Report referring to major additions and deletions to the Green Belt, is not required in the Adopted version of the Plan. It would be inappropriate to show how the Green Belt has changed from the 1998 Adopted Plan, in the finalised version of this Plan. Deletion of this text, and the additional document will help to avoid making the Plan unduly lengthy and assist in keeping the Plan succinct.
	 boundary on the ground and thee planting undertaken as part of the Pitty Beck valley improvement programme. Site plans for amendments under 0.4 hectares can be found in a separate document entitled 'Minor Green Belt Changes'. 	clearly identifiable boundary on the ground and tree planting undertaken as part of the Pitty Beck valley improvement programme. Site plans for amendments under 0.4 hectares can be found in a separate document entitled 'Minor Green Belt Changes'.	

UDP – Bradford West Chapter 13 Green Belt				
Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification	
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/2 UDP – BW/GB1.4 Site – Garden area at Park Drive, Heaton IR – Bradford West / Page 53	N/A	The following change be made to the Bradford West Proposals Map (MOD/BW/GB/2): • Reinstate the site as Green Belt.	For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report.	
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/3 UDP – SOM/BW/GB1/154 SOM/BW/H1/154 Site - Land at Lynfield Drive, Heaton, Bradford IR - Bradford west Pages 12-13	None	 A) The following entry to be inserted under Policy H2 in the Bradford west proposals report: BW/H2.12 LYNFIELD DRIVE, DAISY HILL, HEATON 1.41 New Greenfield site on the edge of the urban area identified following receipt of the Inspectors report into the Replacement Plan. Access to the adjacent cricket club playing field to be created through the housing development to allow improvements to this facility to be developed. B) The following changes to be made to the Bradford West proposals map (MOD/BW/GB/3): Delete Green belt notation and allocate the eastern section as a phase 2 housing site, BW/H2.12. Retain the Playing Fields notation across the cricket pitch as existing 	The Inspector states that the land is unused and that PPG3 emphasises that "vacant land in the urban areas, should be used for housing", however, PPG2 states that green belt boundaries should not be altered because land is derelict. Paragraph 2.7 of PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The Council do not accept the Inspectors contention that the green belt review undertaken prior to first deposit of the Plan was a strong enough reason to alter the extent of the green belt. The following is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 in the Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency, the Inspector considers that there is insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land as a result of decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area. Paragraph 6.39 suggests that this amounts to an exceptional circumstance for removing land from the Green Belt for housing development. The Inspector also considers that the site lies in a sustainable location as a small extension to the main urban area and that the track to the south of the site provides a "distinct and defensible Green Belt boundary" The Council consider that the justification for releasing land from the green belt to fulfil the housing requirement does constitute an exceptional circumstance and that the site is required to make up the anticipated shortfall of phase 2 housing allocations generated as a result of other recommendations. The Green Belt boundary proposed by the Inspector for this and adjacent site SOM/BW//GB1/344 is more clearly defined than that identified at present.	

Mod Ref		Bronosod Modification	Reason for Modification
	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for mounication
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised		
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is		
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)		
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/4	None	A)	
		The following entry to be inserted under	PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional
UDP –		Policy UR5 of the Bradford West proposals	circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The
SOM/BW/GB1/155,		report:	Inspector does not fully set out what are the exceptional circumstances
SOM/BW/H1/155 &			which justify the deletion of this site from the Green Belt. The following
SOM/BW/H2/155		BW/UR5.7	however, is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the
		LAND AT WESTMINSTER DRIVE,	exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which
Site – Land at		CLAYTON, BRADFORD 4.07	can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 (Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency), the Inspector considers there to
Westminster Drive,		4.07	be insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land, as a result of
Clayton, Bradford		Land identified following receipt of the	decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area.
ID Dredferd weet		Inspectors report into the replacement	
IR - Bradford west pages 12-13		UDP. Development of the site to be	PPG2 states in paragraph 2.12 that "In order to ensure protection of
pages 12-13		reconsidered following improvements to	Green Belts within this longer timescale, this will in some cases mean
		infrastructure in the village and need for	safeguarding land between the urban area and the Green Belt which
		the land before the life of the Plan	may be required to meet longer term development needs". This site is
			on the edge of the urban area and the Council accept that this site may
		B)	be appropriate for development in the future as Safeguarded Land.
		The following changes to be made to the	The Council consider that the site meets the requirements stated in
		Bradford west proposals map	PPG2 paragraph 2.12 which states "When providing safeguarded land
		(MOD/BW/GB/4)	local authorities should consider the broad location of anticipated
		Delete the site from the green belt	development beyond the plan period, its affects on urban areas
		and allocate as safeguarded land	contained by the Green Belt and on areas beyond it, and its
		BW/UR5.7	implications for sustainable development".

Mod Ref UDP Ref Site Ref IR Page No.	Existing UDP Wording – 1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is the latest approved by Council)	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification
Mod - MOD/BWGB/5 UDP – SOM/BW/GB1/157 & SOM/BW/H1/157 Site – Land at Chellow Lane, Daisy Hill, Bradford IR – Bradford West / Pages 15-16	N/A	 A) The following entry to be made under Policy H2 of the Bradford west proposals report: BW/H2.14 CHELLOW LANE, DAISY HILL 0.43 Greenfield site on the edge of the urban area. Development will need to provide a clear boundary between the site and the adjacent Green Belt B) The following changes be made to the Bradford West Proposals Map (MOD/BW/GB/5) Delete the site from the Green Belt. Allocate the site as a Phase 2 Housing Site BW/H2.14 	PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The Inspector does not set out what are the exceptional circumstances which justify the deletion of this site from the Green Belt. The following however, is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 (Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency), the Inspector considers there to be insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land, as a result of decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area. The site is on the edge of the urban area and the Inspector concludes that the contribution of the site to the purposes of the Green Belt is not significant. The Council consider that the justification for releasing land from the green belt to fulfil the housing requirement does constitute an exceptional circumstance and that the site is required to make up the anticipated shortfall of phase 2 housing allocations generated as a result of other recommendations.
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/6 UDP - SOM/BW/GB1/158 SOM/BW/H1/158 (BW/H1.12) Site - Land at Prospect Mills, Thornton Road, Bradford IR – Bradford West/page 16-18	N/A	Changes to the Bradford west proposals map as follows: • Amend Green belt boundary running south of Prospect Mills and site BW/H1.12, to run parallel with the southern boundary of Ashfield House. (MOD/BW/GB/6) See also BW/H1.12 (MOD/BW/H/2)	The Inspector suggests a revised green belt boundary which will allow the more comprehensive redevelopment of Prospect Mills with the adjoining site BW/H1.12.

UDF – Dradiord West Chapter 15 Green Deit				
Mod Ref	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification	
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised			
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is			
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)			
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/7 UDP - SOM/BW/GB1/288 SOM/BW/GB1/288 SITE - Ashwell Farm, Heaton, Bradford IR – Bradford West/page 21-22	None	 A) The following entry to be made under policy H2 of the Bradford west proposals report: BW/H2.11 LAND AT ASHWELL FARM, HEATON 0.86 New Greenfield site on the edge of the urban area, identified following the receipt of the Inspectors report into the Replacement Plan. Structural landscaping to be planted along the northern boundary to allow the development site to be integrated into neighbouring woodland. B) The following changes to be made to the Bradford west proposals (MOD/BW/GB/7): Delete green belt notation from the site Identify the site as a phase 2 housing site with the reference H2.11 Correct anomaly created in the revised Green Belt boundary by the deletion of an additional small area of land adjacent to the proposal 	PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The Inspector does not set out what are the exceptional circumstances which justify the deletion of this site from the Green Belt. The following however, is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 (Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency), the Inspector considers there to be insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land, as a result of decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area. The site is on the edge of the urban area and the Inspector concludes that the contribution of the site to the purposes of the Green Belt is not significant. The Council consider that the justification for releasing land from the green belt to fulfil the housing requirement does constitue an exceptional circumstance and that the site is required to make up the anticipated shortfall of phase 2 housing allocations generated as a result of other recommendations.	

Mod Ref		Bronosod Modification	Reason for Modification
UDP Ref	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Widdification
	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised		
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is		
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)		
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/8 UDP – SOM/BW/GB1/337, SOM/BW/UR5/337, Site – East of Ivy Iane, Allerton IR – Bradford West Proposals Page 35-36	None	 A) The following entry to be inserted under Policy UR5 of the Bradford West proposals report: BW/UR5.5 EAST OF IVY LANE, ALLERTON 2.71 Land formerly identified as Green Belt on the edge of the urban area, removed following the receipt of the Inspectors report into the Replacement Plan. Future development could be related to the adjacent housing site BW/H2.10, subject to access and implications on the local highway network. B) Changes to the Bradford west proposals map as follows: Deletion of the objection site from the Green belt and allocation under Policy UR5 as Safeguarded Land, ref BW/UR5.5 	 PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The Council do not accept the Inspectors contention that the green belt review undertaken prior to first deposit of the Plan is a strong enough reason to alter the extent of the green belt. The following however, is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 in the Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency, the Inspector considers that there is insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land as a result of decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area. Paragraph 6.39 suggests that this amounts to an exceptional circumstance for removing land from the Green Belt. PPG2 states in paragraph 2.12 that <i>"In order to ensure protection of Green Belts within this longer timescale, this will in some cases mean safeguarding land between the urban area and the Green Belt which may be required to meet longer term development needs". This site is on the edge of the urban area and the Council accept that this site may be appropriate for development in the future as Safeguarded Land. The Council consider that the site meets the requirements stated in PPG2 paragraph 2.12 which states <i>"When providing safeguarded land local authorities should consider the broad location of anticipated development beyond the plan period, its affects on urban areas contained by the Green Belt and on areas beyond it, and its implications for sustainable development".</i></i>

Mod Ref	Existing UDP Wording –	Proposed Modification	Reason for Modification	
UDP Ref	1st Deposit (June 2001) or Revised			
Site Ref	Deposit (July 2002) (whichever is			
IR Page No.	the latest approved by Council)			
Mod - MOD/BW/GB/9 UDP – SOM/BW/GB1/344 SOM/BW/H2/344 Site - Galsworthy Avenue, Daisy Hill IR - Bradford west/page 36-38	None	 A) The Following entry to be inserted under Policy H2 of the Bradford West Proposals report: BW/H2. 13 GALSWORTHY AVENUE, DAISY HILL, HEATON 1.25 New greenfield site on the edge of the urban area, identified following receipt of the Inspector's report into the Replacement Plan. B) The following changes to be made to the Bradford west proposals map: Deletion of green belt notation across the site and allocation a phase2 housing site BW/H2.13 (MOD/BW/GB/9) 	PPG2 states that boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances where those circumstances necessitate a revision. The Council do not accept the Inspectors contention that the green belt review undertaken prior to first deposit of the Plan was a strong enough reason to alter the extent of the green belt. The following however, is an example of the Inspector's proper explanation of the exceptional circumstances for removing land from the green belt which can also be applied to this site: At paragraph 6.38 in the Inspector's report for the Bradford South constituency, the Inspector considers that there is insufficient land for housing and safeguarded land as a result of decisions on other sites and limited opportunities within the urban area. Paragraph 6.39 suggests that this amounts to an exceptional circumstance for removing land from the Green Belt for housing development. The site is well located on the edge of the urban area with good access to local amenities and facilities. The proposed green belt boundary suggested by the Inspector is more clearly defined than the existing boundary and the site is required to make up the anticipated shortfall of phase 2 housing allocations generated as a result of other recommendations. The Council consider that the justification for releasing land from the green belt to fulfil the housing requirement does constitute an exceptional circumstance and that the site is required to make up the anticipated shortfall of phase 2 housing allocations generated as a result of other recommendations.	